Office of Graduate Programs School of Engineering and Applied Science Thornton Hall A108 Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

20200529

Graduate Engineering Thesis & Dissertation Assessment

Student NameComp IDSIS ID(last, first middle)(e.g. mst3k)(office use)

Program M.S. Thesis Ph.D. Dissertation

SCORING: 5 = excellent; 3 = adequate; 1 = unsatisfactory (or NA)

Score Comments

1. Defining the Problem

Has the student stated the problem clearly, provided its motivation, and the requirements for a solution?

2. Analysis of Previous and Related Work

Has the student evaluated prior/current approaches and clearly described how they are lacking? Has the student's work been compared with previous/competing work?

3. Establishing Success Criteria

Has the student adequately defined the measure(s) of success to be used to evaluate the work? Is there a well defined metric with a goal? Does the metric adequately represent the desired success criteria?

4. Solution

Is the approach taken well executed? Does it appear to be correct? Is the work technically challenging? Does the student utilize appropriate professional standards?

5. Innovation and Risk

Has the student considered the extent to which the results depend on the accuracy of the parameters and assumptions?

6. Broader implications

Has the student considered the broader implications of the work? Broader implications may include social, economic, political, technical, ethical, business, etc. aspects.

- 7. Writing Quality
- 8. Presentation Quality
- 9. Ability to Answer Questions

10. Publication

Has the work been submitted for publication? (Score 1 - 5 on the committee's assessment of the quality of the publication record)

Faculty Evaluator

Name Signature