Office of Graduate Programs School of Engineering and Applied Science Thornton Hall A108 Date

(mm/dd/yyyy)

20200512

Dissertation Proposal Assessment

Student NameComp IDSIS ID(last, first middle)(e.g. mst3k)(office use)

Program

SCORING: 5 = excellent; 3 = adequate; 1 = unsatisfactory (or NA)

score comments

1. Defining the problem

Has the student stated the problem clearly, provided its motivation, and the requirements for a solution?

2. Analysis of previous and related work

Has the student evaluated prior/current approaches and clearly described how they are lacking? Has the student's work been compared with previous/competing work?

3. Establishing success criteria

Has the student adequately defined the measure(s) of success to be used to evaluate the work? Is there a well defined metric with a goal? Does the metric adequately represent the desired success criteria?

4. Approach

Is the proposed approach well defined? Is there evidence of its appropriateness to the problem? Is the proposed work technically challenging? Will the student utilize appropriate professional standards?

5. Plan

Does the research plan seem reasonable and well-thought out? Is the scope of the problem too broad or too narrow? Are the tasks well articulated and appropriate? Is the schedule reasonable?

6. Innovation and risk

To what extent is the work innovative? Has the student taken a risk in applying the chosen approach? How is the risk mitigated?

7. Broader implications

Has the student considered the broader implications of the work? Broader implications may include social, economic, political, technical, ethical, business, etc. aspects.

- 8. Writing quality
- 9. Presentation quality
- 10. Ability to answer questions

Faculty Evaluator

Name

Signature